

Lecture 7
Monotonicity

September 21, 2008

Outline

- Introduce several monotonicity properties of vector functions
- Are satisfied immediately by gradient maps of convex functions
- In a sense, role of monotonicity in VI/CPs is similar that of convexity in optimization

Focus

- Motivation from convex optimization and game theoretic problems
- Definition of monotone mappings and their variants
- Existence of solutions and convexity of solution sets
- Convex programming - a special case

Monotone functions

Definition 1 Given a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, a mapping $F : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be

- (a) **pseudo-monotone** on K if for all vectors $x, y \in K$

$$(x - y)^T F(y) \geq 0 \implies (x - y)^T F(x) \geq 0;$$

- (b) **monotone** on K if for all vectors $x, y \in K$

$$(x - y)^T (F(x) - F(y)) \geq 0$$

- (c) **strictly monotone** on K if for all vectors $x, y \in K, x \neq y$

$$(x - y)^T (F(x) - F(y)) > 0$$

- (d) **ξ -monotone** on K for some $\xi > 1$ if there exists a $c > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in K$

$$(x - y)^T (F(x) - F(y)) > c \|x - y\|^\xi$$

- (e) **strongly monotone** (or 2-monotone) on K if there exists a $c > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in K$

$$(x - y)^T (F(x) - F(y)) > c \|x - y\|^2$$

Relationships

- $\text{strongly-monotone} \implies \xi\text{-monotone} \implies \text{strictly-monotone} \implies \text{monotone}$
- $\text{monotone} \implies \text{pseudo-monotone.}$

In addition, for an affine map, $F(x) \equiv Ax + b$ and $K \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

- $\text{strongly-monotone} \Leftrightarrow \xi\text{-monotone} \Leftrightarrow \text{strictly-monotone} \Leftrightarrow A \succ 0$
- $\text{monotonicity} \Leftrightarrow A \succeq 0$

Vector Mappings and their Jacobians

More generally, if $F \in C^1$ on an open convex set \mathcal{D} , then the following proposition holds:

Proposition 1 *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open convex set, and let $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuously differentiable function on \mathcal{D} . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *F is monotone on \mathcal{D} if and only if $\nabla F(x)$ is positive semidefinite for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$.*
- (b) *F is strictly monotone on \mathcal{D} if and only if $\nabla F(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$.*
- (c) *F is strongly monotone on \mathcal{D} if and only if $\nabla F(x)$ is uniformly positive definite over \mathcal{D} , i.e., there exists a $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$*

$$y^T \nabla F(x) y \geq c \|y\|^2, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof: Homework.

Why are monotone mappings important?

- Arise from important classes of optimization/game-theoretic problems
- Can articulate existence/uniqueness statements for such problems
- Convergence properties of algorithms may sometimes (but not always) be restricted to such monotone problems

Convex programming problems

Consider the optimization problem given by

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & \theta(x) \\ & x \in K, \end{aligned}$$

where $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed convex set, and $\theta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice-continuously differentiable convex function on an open superset set \mathcal{D} of the set K .

- An optimizer of this problem is given by the solution to $\text{VI}(K, \nabla\theta)$, i.e., $x \in K$ such that

$$(y - x)^T \nabla\theta(x), \quad \forall y \in K.$$

- When $\theta(\cdot)$ is a convex function, $\nabla^2\theta(x)$ is positive semidefinite everywhere, implying that $\nabla\theta$ is a monotone vector mapping
- Therefore $\text{VI}(K, \nabla\theta)$ is a monotone VI
- **NOTE:** This is true even when θ is convex and differentiable

Game-theoretic Problems

Consider the game-theoretic problem given by agent problems:

$$S_i(\mathbf{x}^{-i}) \quad \min_{x_i} \theta_i(x_i; \mathbf{x}^{-i})$$

$$x_i \in K_i.$$

Proposition 2 *Let K_i be closed and convex sets, and let θ_i be convex and C^1 . Then \mathbf{x} is a Nash equilibrium if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{SOL}(K, F)$, where*

$$K = \prod_{i=1}^n K_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{F}(x) := (\nabla \theta_i(\mathbf{x}))_{i=1}^n.$$

- K is a Cartesian product of K_i and is also closed and convex

Existence of solutions to monotone VIs

Theorem 3 *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed convex and $F : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous.*

- (a)** *If F is strictly monotone on K , the $VI(K, F)$ has at most one solution.*
- (b)** *If F is ξ -monotone on K , the $VI(K, F)$ has a unique solution.*
- (c)** *If F is Lipschitz continuous and ξ -monotone on Ω for some $\xi > 1$, where $K \subseteq \Omega$, then there exists a $c' > 0$ such that*

$$\|x - x^*\| \leq c' \|\mathbf{F}_K^{\text{nat}}(x)\|^{\frac{1}{\xi-1}} \quad \text{for every } x \in \Omega,$$

where x^* is the unique solution to the $VI(K, F)$.

Result in (c) provides an upper bound on the distance from the solution

Proof:

(a) Suppose F is strictly monotone on K . Let x, x' be two solutions to $\text{VI}(K, F)$. Then for all $y \in K$, we have

$$(y - x)^T F(x) \geq 0 \quad (y - x')^T F(x') \geq 0.$$

But by substituting x' and x for y in first and second expressions, we obtain

$$(x' - x)^T F(x) \geq 0 \quad (x - x')^T F(x') \geq 0.$$

But by adding these inequalities, we obtain

$$(x' - x)^T (F(x') - F(x)) \leq 0,$$

contradicting the strict monotonicity of F .

(b) If F is ξ -monotone, we merely need to show that $\text{VI}(K, F)$ has a solution. Its uniqueness follows from F being strictly monotone. For any ξ -monotone mapping with $\xi > 1$, by definition there exists a $c > 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in K$

$$(x - y)^T (F(x) - F(y)) \geq c \|x - y\|^\xi$$

For a fixed $y \in K$, it follows that

$$\frac{F(x)^T (x - y)}{\|x\|^\xi} \geq \frac{F(y)^T (x - y)}{\|x\|^\xi} + c \frac{\|x - y\|^\xi}{\|x\|^\xi}$$

By letting $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ with $x \in K$, since $\xi > 1$, it follows

$$\liminf_{\substack{x \in K \\ \|x\| \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{F(x)^T (x - y)}{\|x\|^\xi} \geq c > 0.$$

The existence is now immediate from the following result:

Theorem 4 (Corollary 2.2.6 from FP(I)) *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set and let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous. If there exists an $x^{ref} \in K$ and a scalar $\zeta \geq 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{x \in K, \|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x)^T (x - x^{ref})}{\|x\|^\zeta} > 0, \quad (1)$$

then the $VI(K, F)$ has a nonempty compact solution set.

As seen, F satisfies (1) with $x^{ref} = y$ for an arbitrary $y \in K$ and $\zeta = \xi$.

(c) Let $x^* \in K$ be the solution and $c > 0$ be the constant in ξ -monotonicity

For a given $x \in \Omega$, we define $r \equiv \mathbf{F}_K^{\text{nat}}(x)$. Then we have

$$x - r = \Pi_K(x - F(x))$$

$$\implies (y - x + r)^T (F(x) - r) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K$$

with $y = x^*$, we have $(x^* - x + r)^T (F(x) - r) \geq 0$

Since $x^* \in \text{SOL}(K, F)$ and $x - r \in K$, it follows

$$(x - r - x^*)^T F(x^*) \geq 0$$

By adding the last two inequalities (after some algebra), we obtain

$$(x - x^*)^T (F(x) - F(x^*)) \leq r^T (F(x) - F(x^*)).$$

The ξ –monotonicity and Lipschitz continuity (with constant $L > 0$) of F implies that

$$c\|x - x^*\|^\xi \leq (x - x^*)^T (F(x) - F(x^*)) \leq L\|r\|\|x - x^*\|$$

$$\|x - x^*\|^{\xi-1} \leq \frac{L\|r\|}{c}$$

$$\|x - x^*\| \leq c'\|r\|^{\frac{1}{\xi-1}},$$

$$\text{where } c' = \left(\frac{L}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{\xi-1}}.$$

Generally, strict monotonicity is not sufficient to have a solution

Example : Consider the equation $e^x = 0$ which has no zero on the real line.

Theorem 5 (*Theorem 2.3.4 of FP I*) *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and convex, and $F : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous. If F is pseudo-monotone on K , then the three statements (a), (b), (c) of the main result of Lecture 6 are equivalent*

Proof: It suffices to show that (c) \implies (a), i.e., that the existence of solution to $VI(K, F)$ implies that, for some $x^{ref} \in K$ the set $L_{<}$ is bounded.

In particular, we will show that the existence of solution to $VI(K, F)$ implies that the set

$$L_{<} = \{x \in K \mid F(x)^T(x - x^{ref}) < 0\}$$

is empty for some $x^{ref} \in K$.

Consider a solution x^* , and the set $L_{<} = \{x \in K \mid F(x)^T(x - x^*) < 0\}$.

Since x^* is solution, we have

$$(x - x^*)^T F(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

By pseudo-monotonicity, it follows that

$$(x - x^*)^T F(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in K,$$

implying that the set $L_<$ is empty. \square

Convexity of solution set

Next set of results:

- Solution set of pseudo-monotone VI is always convex
- Sufficient condition for such a VI to have a nonempty bounded solution set
- Need to define recession cones:

Definition 2 *A recession direction of a set X is a direction d such that for some vector $x \in X$, the ray $\{x + \tau d : \tau \geq 0\}$ is contained in X . The set of all recession directions is denoted by X_∞ and called the recession cone of X .*

Instances of X_∞

- If there is a nonzero w with $w \in X_\infty$, then X_∞ is unbounded
- If X is polyhedral, i.e., $X = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$, then

$$X_\infty = \{d \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ad \leq 0\}.$$

Theorem 6 *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set and $F : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous mapping. Also, let F be pseudo-monotone on K . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *The solution set $SOL(K, F)$ is convex.*
- (b) *If there exists a vector $x^{\text{ref}} \in K$ such that $F(x^{\text{ref}})$ belongs to the interior of the dual cone to K_∞ , i.e., $F(x^{\text{ref}}) \in \text{int}(K_\infty)^*$, then $SOL(K, F)$ is nonempty and compact.*

Proof:

(a) Let F be pseudo monotone on K . We claim that the solution set $SOL(K, F)$ has the following structure:

$$SOL(K, F) = \bigcap_{y \in K} \{x \in K : F(y)^T (y - x) \geq 0\}. \quad (2)$$

We prove this statement by showing that a vector in one of the sets lies in the other.

- Let $x^* \in SOL(K, F)$. Then, we have

$$(y - x^*)^T F(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K.$$

By the pseudo-monotonicity of F on K , we have $F(y)^T (y - x^*) \geq 0, \forall y \in K$, implying that

$$x^* \in \bigcap_{y \in K} \{x \in K : F(y)^T (y - x) \geq 0\}$$

- Suppose $x^* \in \bigcap_{y \in K} \{x \in K : F(y)^T(y - x) \geq 0\}$. Let $z \in K$ be arbitrary. Define $y = \tau x^* + (1 - \tau)z$. By convexity of K , y belongs to K for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$. Then we have

$$F(y)^T(y - x^*) \geq 0 \quad \equiv \quad F(\tau x^* + (1 - \tau)z)^T(z - x^*) \geq 0.$$

Letting $\tau \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$F(x^*)^T(z - x^*) \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in K.$$

Hence $x^* \in \text{SOL}(K, F)$.

- For a fixed, but arbitrary $y \in K$, the set

$$\{x \in K : F(y)^T(y - x) \geq 0\}$$

is closed and convex. The intersection of any number of convex sets is convex, giving us convexity of $SOL(K, F)$.

(b) We will show that the given property implies that the set

$$L_{\leq} = \{x \in K \mid F(x)^T(x - x^{\text{ref}}) \leq 0\}$$

is bounded. Then, the nonemptiness and compactness of $SOL(K, F)$ follows from Prop. 2.2.3 from FP(I) [main result of Lecture 6].

By the pseudo-monotonicity of F on K , we have that

$$F(x^{\text{ref}})^T(x - x^{\text{ref}}) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in L_{\leq}$$

Hence, $L_{\leq} \subseteq \{x \in K \mid F(x^{\text{ref}})^T(x - x^{\text{ref}}) \leq 0\} \equiv L$, where the set L is closed and convex. Suppose that L is unbounded, then since $L \subseteq K$, K is also unbounded. Thus, there exists a nonzero recession direction $d \in K_{\infty}$. For such a direction, we have $F(x^{\text{ref}})^T d \leq 0$.

By the given property, we have $F(x^{\text{ref}}) \in \text{int}(K_\infty)^*$. Therefore, there exists a small enough $\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(x^{\text{ref}}) - \delta d \in (K_\infty)^*.$$

Hence

$$0 \leq d^T (F(x^{\text{ref}}) - \delta d) \leq -\delta d^T d < 0.$$

The contradiction implies that K is bounded and since $L_{\leq} \subseteq K$, we see that L_{\leq} is bounded.

Additional Properties of Solutions for Pseudo-Monotone VI's

Proposition 7 [FP-I, Prop 2.3.6] *Let $F : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be pseudo-monotone on a convex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. For any two solutions x^1 and x^2 in $SOL(K, F)$, we have*

$$(x^1 - x^2)^T F(x^1) = (x^1 - x^2)^T F(x^2) = 0.$$

Consequently $(x^1 - x^2)^T (F(x^1) - F(x^2)) = 0$. In addition ,*

$$F(SOL(K, F)) \subseteq (SOL(K, F)_\infty)^\perp.$$

Proposition 8 [FP-I, Cor 2.3.7] *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed convex and let $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuously differentiable on the open set \mathcal{D} , where $K \subset \mathcal{D}$. If F is monotone on K and $\nabla F(x)$ is symmetric for all $x \in K$, then $F(SOL(K, F))$ is a singleton.*

*If $x \in SOL(K, F)$ and $d \in (SOL(K, F)_\infty)^\perp$, then $x + d \in SOL(K, F)$.

Application to Convex Optimization

Consider the convex problem given by

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \theta(x) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x \in K, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice-continuously differentiable convex function on a closed convex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 9 *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set and $\theta \in C^2$ defined on an open convex set \mathcal{D} containing K . If $S_{opt} \neq \emptyset$, then for any $\bar{x} \in S_{opt}$,*

$$S_{opt} = \{x \in K : \nabla\theta(x) = \nabla\theta(\bar{x}), \nabla\theta(\bar{x})^T(x - \bar{x}) = 0\}.$$

Proof: Let \bar{S} denote $\{x \in K : \nabla\theta(x) = \nabla\theta(\bar{x}), \nabla\theta(\bar{x})^T(x - \bar{x}) = 0\}$. We show that $S_{\text{opt}} \subseteq \bar{S}$ by using Propositions 7 and 8.

- Since $\theta(\cdot)$ is convex, $\nabla^2\theta$ is positive-semidefinite over K . Therefore $\nabla\theta$ is monotone over K and the problem may be cast as VI(K,F), with F monotone, and K closed and convex.
- By Proposition 7, we have for any two solutions of the problem \hat{x} and \bar{x} ,

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{x} - \bar{x})^T \nabla\theta(\bar{x}) &= (\hat{x} - \bar{x})^T \nabla\theta(x) = 0 \\(\hat{x} - \bar{x})^T (\nabla\theta(\hat{x}) - \nabla\theta(\bar{x})) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- To claim $\nabla\theta(\hat{x}) = \nabla\theta(\bar{x})$, we invoke Proposition 8 with $F = \nabla\theta$, which claims that $F(\text{SOL}(K,F))$ is a singleton. Hence $\nabla\theta(\hat{x}) = \nabla\theta(\bar{x})$, implying $\hat{x} \in \bar{S}$.
- We now show that $\bar{S} \subseteq S_{\text{opt}}$. Let $x \in \bar{S}$ be arbitrary.

- By the convexity of θ at some $\bar{x} \in S_{\text{opt}}$, we have by the gradient inequality

$$\theta(\bar{x}) - \theta(x) \geq (\bar{x} - x)^T \nabla \theta(x).$$

Since $x \in \bar{S}$, we have $\nabla \theta(x) = \nabla \theta(\bar{x})$ and $\nabla \theta(\bar{x})^T (x - \bar{x}) = 0$, implying that

$$\theta(\bar{x}) - \theta(x) \geq (\bar{x} - x)^T \nabla(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\theta(x) \leq \theta(\bar{x})$ but \bar{x} is optimal solution, i.e.,

$$\theta(\bar{x}) \leq \theta(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in K.$$

Hence, $\theta(x) = \theta(\bar{x})$, showing that $x \in S_{\text{opt}}$.